UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,022	02/17/2006	Ralf Flachmann	13173-00025	4127
23416 7590 08/11/2008 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WH MINGTON, DE 10000			EXAMINER	
			KALLIS, RUSSELL	
WILMINGTON, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/569,022	FLACHMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	RUSSELL KALLIS	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2006.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,63,72 and 73 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9,63,72 and 73 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The previous office action filed 8/04/2008 is VACATED in view of the supplemental office action below.

Claims 1-9, 63 and 72-73 are pending and examined.

This supplemental office action includes the rejected claims in the body of the rejection and at the end of the Office Action. The previously filed action only listed the rejected claims on the PTOL-326 form.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 63 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 7,223,909 filed 3/20/2003 in view of U.S. Patent 6,252,141 issued 2001 and U.S. Patent 5,965,795 issued 1999.

The claims are broadly drawn to genetically modified nonhuman organisms transformed to have modified beta cyclase and ketolase activities for the production of ketocarotenoids.

- U.S. Patent 7,223,909 teaches Marigold transformed with a ketolase and a beta cyclase in Claims 1 and 18 for the production of ketocarotenoids.
  - U.S. Patent 5,965,795 discloses SEQ ID NO: 4 a ketolase.
  - U.S. Patent 6,252,141 discloses SEQ ID NO: 2 a beta cyclase.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,022 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the ketolase and cyclase taught by 7,223,909 for the ketolase of SEQ ID NO: 4 and the beta cyclase of SEQ ID NO: 2 of the '795 and '141 Patents respectively and to use the combination of a ketolase and a beta cyclase, taught in the '909 Patent, in species that do not have a native ketolase encoding gene, one of ordinary skill would have a reasonable expectation of success given the success of the '909 patent and given the knowledge common in the art that ketolase and cyclase sequences were available and knowledge of their function to produce ketoarotenoids was common.

Claims 1-9, 63 and 72-73 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,022 Page 4

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to RUSSELL KALLIS whose telephone number is (571)272-0798.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Russell Kallis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

August 6, 2008

Application Number

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/569,022	FLACHMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	   RUSSELL KALLIS	1638	